#### VIRGINIA TECH.

### Calculation of Field Goal Percentage using Acceleration Data

ZACHARY VANKAT

### Overview

1.Test Objective, Setup & Procedure2.Shot Detection3.Shot Classification

1. Test Objective, Setup & Procedure

## The goal of the project is to determine the field goal percentage of a basketball player for a single shooting session

- Objective: create a low cost, simple way to determine FG%
- Allows player to focus on their shooting form rather than counting shots
- After a shooting session, will tell player their field goal percentage
  - FG%(shots made/shots taken)
  - 40% (400/1000)
- Goal: achieve 5% error in FG%



## The LSM6DS3 3D digital accelerometer was mounted at the base of the rim in a protective case

- Sample rate: 208 Hz
- Acceleration was measured in 3 directions
  - X = longitudinal
  - Y = lateral
  - Z = vertical
- 10, one minute long shooting sessions were recorded
  - A total of 108 shots were taken
  - Videos were recorded to determine if shots were made or missed
- Hoop characteristics:
  - Chain net
  - Short longitudinal distance to base
  - Small, metal backboard
- Currently not employed in real time



Triaxial accelerometer installed on a hoop, on the underside of the rim

### Multiple assumptions were made to facilitate data analysis

- Air balls will not be considered shots
- The system must be installed with prior knowledge of the hoop
  - The filters and thresholds must be adjusted for each hoop
  - Different hoops will have different vibration characteristics depending on materials, height, and other factors
  - Only 1 hoop will be used in analysis



## 2. Shot Detection

### Shot detection was first attempted using the highpass filtered data

- A high-pass filter was utilized as an edge detector
  - 5<sup>th</sup> Order Butterworth, break frequency = 99 Hz
- Peak height and the distance between peaks were used to determine when a shot was taken
- If there are errors, missed shots are desired over additional shots



### Shot detection was first attempted using the highpass filtered data



- Difficulties using this method:
  - Oscillations in the data make it difficult to create parameters to define shots
  - Shots that induce small vibrations are undetected
  - Shots that attenuate slowly will be detected as two shots rather than one
- Results: 101/108 shots detected correctly

# The number of shots was determined using change in variance event detection techniques



## 3. Shot Classification

# The FFT of each detected shot was calculated to determine the frequencies of interest

Z-Acceleration FFT comparison of 4 made shots



 Unfortunately, the comparison of the FFTs of individual shots were unclear, with many conflicting frequencies and trials

• No specific frequencies of interest for the net could be determined

### There are no obvious frequencies of interest that could be isolated to classify shots

Z-Acceleration FFT comparison of 4 shots

Z-Acceleration FFT comparison of 4 shots



## Frequency domain cross-correlation did not provide accurate results for classifying shots

- The acceleration data of a made shot that only hit the net was extracted
- The RO value was used to classify shots
- The cross-correlation between the known instance of a made shot and other shots did not yield accurate results
  - Dependent on peak locations, width, and magnitude



## Time domain cross-correlation did not provide accurate results for classifying shots



 No frequencies specific to made shots could be isolated with an appropriate filter in the time domain The high pass filtered noise dependent more on magnitude of ball contact than location of contact There was no specific pattern in the time domain data that would allow for cross-correlation

# Shots were classified using change in variance event detection techniques

0.04

0.02

0.00

-0.02

-0.04

on [g]

- The variance test was designed to take advantage of the second vibration present in a made shot
  - As the ball bounces off the backboard or rim into the net it will cause a second vibration
  - Error arises when the ball bounces off multiple surfaces and misses or only hits the net
- N = 30, β = 2.0



# The calculated FG% is close to the actual value, but the calculation process still has significant error





Percent shots classified correctly = 72.9%

(for 107/108 shots detected)

- Percent error made shots = 18.75% (12/64)
- Percent error missed shots = 39.53% (17/43)
- Percent error for FG% = 8.83%
- The FG% is an overestimate
  - It is more likely for a missed shot to be wrongly classified as a made shot than the opposite case

# Future work should be done to mitigate error or to classify the locations of shots on the basket

- Gyroscope data can be used to in correspondence with the acceleration data to determine locations of shots on basket
- Adding additional constraints to the classification of shots
- Additional sensors, such as sonar, LiDAR, or cameras can be added to detect and classify shots
- methods can be employed in real time with shot limits or time limits



- ShotTracker provides real time basketball states using sensors placed around the arena [1]
  - The cost is about \$45,000 [1]
  - The goal of future work would be to provide similar performance, but with less complexity and less cost

Questions?

#### References

[1] J. Young. "Sports tech firm ShotTracker gets backing from Verizon in \$11 million financing round." CNBC. https://www.cnbc.com/2021/01/14/shottracker-gets-backing-from-verizon-in-11-million-financing-round-.html (accessed May 11, 2021)

